

BENDIOCARB - A CARBAMATE INSECTICIDE INDUCED CHROMOSOMAL ABERRATIONS IN BONE MARROW CELLS OF *CALOTES VERSICOLOR*

ANISHA, NISHA SHRIVASTAVA AND TUMUL SINGH*

Department of Zoology, Udai Pratap (Autonomous) College, Varanasi - 221002, INDIA e-mail: tumultapan@yahoo.co.in

KEYWORDS

Bendiocarb Chromosomal Aberration Genotoxicity Karyotype Calotes

Received on : 11.04.2015

Accepted on : 26.10.2015

*Corresponding author

INTRODUCTION

Synthetic pesticides are complex mixture of chemicals and acts as environmental contaminants or pollutants. There are three major groups of synthetic pesticides, carbamates are the recent additions. Cytogenetic analysis have shown that carbamate pesticides are cytotoxic, mutagenic, clastogenic and carcinogenic (Priya et al., 2014; Srivastava and Singh, 2013; Shrivastava et al., 2014; 2015; Anisha et al., 2014; Krishnappa and Venkateshwarlu, 2007). Several cytogenetic markers such as chromosomal aberrations (CA), micronuclei (MN), single cell gel electrophoresis (SCGE) and mitotic index have extensively been used for detection of early biological effects of DNA-damaging agents (Wei et al., 1997; Kumar, et al., 2013; Kusum Lata, et al., 2010; Choudhury, 2013; Poletta et al., 2011). Tracing many reasons the excessive use of synthetic pesticides has gained momentum for the rapid decline in the population of herpetofauna in the last few decades (Lajmanovich, et al., 2005; Capriglione, et al., 2011; Cakici, et al., 2012; Sparling, et al., 2010; Gibbons et al., 2000; Gibbons et al., 2015). Reptiles have shown to be excellent models for studies of association between chemical or physical agents and genetic damage however; they remain to be the group of vertebrates less studied in genetic toxicology (Poletta et al., 2013).

Bendiocarb (Chemical Name-2, 3-isopropylidenedioxyphenyl methylcarbamate), a carbamate insecticide, is extensively used against mosquitoes, flies, wasps, ants, fleas, cockroaches,

ABSTRACT

We investigated the *in vivo* cytogenetic effects of bendiocarb, a carbamate insecticide, by evaluating its capability to induce chromosomal aberrations in the metaphase chromosomes from bone marrow cells of *Calotes versicolor* (males). Following daily intraperitoneal (IP) injections of 4 mg/kg body weight $(1/4^{th} LD_{50})$ of bendiocarb, the animals were sacrificed after 7, 14, 21 and 28 days to prepare metaphase plates. The karyotype with chromosome number 2n = 34 = 12V + 22m validated the experimental animals as male *Calotes versicolor*. Treated group showed numerical changes in the form of aneuploidy with a general pattern of monosomy and structural changes in the form of gaps, breaks, additions and deletions. Gap frequencies increased significantly after 14 days (p ≤ 0.005) and after 21 and 28 days (p ≤ 0.005). Breaks increased significantly after 14 and 21 days (p ≤ 0.005) and 0.05). Deletions were significant after 21 and 28 days (p ≤ 0.05). These results indicate that the frequency of karyological and cytogenetic damage increased with exposure time suggesting that chronic exposure of bendiocarb induces genotoxicity in male *Calotes versicolor* and Chromosomal aberration assay is a sensitive biomarker of *in vivo* genotoxicity testing in the reptilian model selected in this study.

silverfish and ticks. Holeckova et al. (2009) reported its genotoxic effects in cultured peripheral bovine lymphocytes by FISH and WCP (Whole chromosome painting) technique. Anisha et al. (2014) reported that bendiocarb induces micronuclei in bone marrow cells of Calotes versicolor. However, there is no report on bendiocarb induced chromosomal aberration in Calotes versicolor. Therefore, in the present work we have tried to investigate the in vivo cytogenetic effects of bendiocarb by evaluating its capability to induce karyological and cytogenetic damage in the form of numerical and structural aberrations in the metaphase chromosome prepared from bone marrow cells of Calotes versicolor. The objective was to test for the sensitivity of chromosomal aberration assay as a biomarker of pesticide induced genotoxicity testing in the reptilian model Calotes versicolor.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Location and duration of study

This study was conducted in the Department of Zoology Udai Pratap College Varanasi, India. The preliminary studies standardization of experimental procedures, animal acclimatization, actual animal experiment and evaluation of results lasted for a period of four months. However, the actual administration of bendiocarb to the test animals lasted for 28 days. Adult male garden lizards, *Calotes versicolor* (average snout - vent length 10 ± 2 cm and body weight 30 ± 2 g) were housed in vivarium (wire net cages of size $18 \times 12 \times 10$ inch). These were provided with food (crickets, maggots, flies) and water *ad libitum*. These were acclimatized for one week prior to experimentation. The guidelines of the Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experiment on Animals, Ministry of Statistics & Programme Implementation, Government of India, were followed in maintenance and sacrifice of animals.

LD₅₀

According to the method described by Randhawa (2009) the LD_{50} value for (IP) dose of bendiocarb was determined to be 16 mg/kg body weight. Wettable powder of bendiocarb purchased from SIGMA-ALDRICH, India was used for this study.

Experimental Design

A total of 32 lizards were taken for experimentation and the animals were divided into two groups of 16 each. The first group was kept as control and received vehicle and the lizards of second group were injected daily with 4 mg/kg body weight $(1/4^{th} LD_{50})$ of bendiocarb intraperitoneally. Four lizards from each group were selected to prepare metaphase plates after 7 days, 14 days, 21 days and 28 days, post treatment.

Preparation of Metaphase Plates

Control and treated groups were colchicinised (Colchicine purchased from SRL) at the rate of 0.005 mg/g body weight after 24 hours of the last dose of bendiocarb administered. Lizards were sacrificed after 2 hours of colchicine treatment and bone-marrow from femur bone was flushed in hypotonic solution (0.56 % KCl) and then incubated at 30°C for 10 min. The cell suspension was centrifuged at 1800 rpm for 30 min, fixed in acetomethanol (acetic acid: methanol, 1:3, v/v). Centrifugation and fixation was repeated three times at 10-min intervals. The material was re-suspended in a small volume of fixative, dropped onto chilled slides, flame-dried, and stained in 5% buffered Giemsa (pH 6.8).

Karyotyping

20 good quality metaphase plates of control group were used to prepare karyotype to ascertain the species and sex of the experimental animal. The chromosomes were classified according to the method described by Levan *et al.*, (1964).

Chromosomal Aberration (CA) Assay

One hundred good-quality metaphases were examined under oil immersion for control and treated groups separately to observe CA (Brusick, 1980).

Statistical Analysis

Data was expressed as arithmetic Mean \pm SEM. Significance of the data was analyzed using the test criterion, Student's t-test.

RESULTS

Metaphase plate prepared from bone marrow of control group is shown in Fig. 1. In most of the metaphase plates, the nuclear centre was clustered with microchromosomes and the macrochromosomes were evenly distributed and occupied the peripheral region of the nucleus. The centromeres of



Figure 1: Photomicrographs of Metaphase plates of Calotes versicolor showing a. Normal metaphase plates, b. Karyotype of *C.versicolor* (male). c-h. Showing chromosomal aberrations after bendiocarb treatment. Monosomy of chromosome 6, an aneuploidy. d-h. (chb- chromosome break, cg- chromatid gap, cb- chromatid break, ca- chromatid addition and cd- chromatid deletion)

macrochromosomes encircled the microchromosome cluster forming a ring on the inner side with the chromosome arms pointing outward at the equatorial plane. Each macrochromosome, thus, acquired a 'V' shaped configuration with side by side arrangement. Side-by-side arrangement of macrochromosomes varied from cell to cell.

Fig. 2, shows karyotype of normal metaphase chromosomes from control group. The chromosome number comprised of 2n = 34 = 12V + 22m chromosomes, 6 pairs were macrochromosomes and 11 pairs were spot microchromosomes. Chromosome pair number 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 were metacentric, 2^{nd} pair was submetacentric and carried





Figure 9: Time dependent induction in different types of chromosomal aberrations (A-Gap, B- Break, C-Addition and D- Deletion) in the bone marrow cells of *Calotes versicolor*, following treatment with $\frac{1}{2}$ LD₅₀ of Bendiocarb. *p \leq 0.005, **p \leq 0.005 and ***p \leq 0.0005.

satellite (SAT) at both of its long arms. Heteromorphic sex chromosomes were not found, males are homogametic with regards to the sex chromosome as found in most of the karyotype. The observation on karyotype confirmed that the experimental animal selected for this study were male *Calotes versicolor*.

On the basis of observation on metaphase chromosomes of the treated group it was concluded that 'V' shaped configuration with side by side arrangement of macrochromosomes were lost (Fig. 3-8). Numerical aberrations in the form of aneuploidy were observed consistently in several metaphase plates following bendiocarb injection in the treated group. Fig. 3, shows loss of one chromosome of 6th pair. The numerical aberrations increased following chronic treatment for extended period as compared to control with a general pattern of loss of one chromosome (monosomy). Structural aberrations in the form of chromosome break (Fig. 4); chromatid gaps, breaks, additions and deletions were observed in the bone marrow cells of treated group (Fig. 5-8). The results illustrated in Fig. 9, are indicating a time dependent increase in the level of induction of structural aberrations following chronic exposure for an extended period. After 7 days of treatment, there was no significant change in frequencies of CA. Frequencies of CA in the form of gaps increased in the treated group significantly at 14 days (p \leq 0.005) and at 21 days and 28 days (p \leq 0.0005). Breaks increased significantly ($p \le 0.005$) and ($p \le 0.05$) after 14 and 21 days of treatment, respectively. Deletions increased significantly ($p \le 0.05$) after 21 and 28 days of treatment. However, no significant change was found for CA showing addition.

DISCUSSION

Pesticides are useful to control pest outbreaks, but excessive use leads to severe environmental pollution as many pesticides are not easily degradable, these persist in soil, leach to groundwater and surface water and causes environmental contamination, degradation and pollution. Hazards caused by pesticides on the animals are due to their accidental exposure to pesticides either by ingestion or inhalation (Hernandez et al., 2006; Gokhan et al., 2008). Depending on their chemical properties they can enter the organism, bioaccumulate in food chains and consequently lead to severe health hazards. Repeated application poses a great threat to biodiversity. Overall, intensive pesticide application results in several negative effects in the environment that cannot be ignored and needs to be biomonitored.

The male garden lizard, *C. versicolor was* selected for this study, because reptiles have been shown to be valuable models for ecotoxicological studies and risk assessment both *in vivo* and *in vitro* (Talent et *al.*, 2002; Matson et *al.*, 2005; 2009; Martinez-Lopez et *al.*, 2010). In our investigation the karyotype and pattern of chromosomal arrangement in metaphase and location of SAT at the ends of the long arm of the homologous pair of 2nd chromosome of control lizards

were highly consistent and very similar to earlier reports by, Makino and Asana (1948); Sharma *et al.*, (1980); Kritpetcharat *et al.*, (1999) and Thounaojam, *et al.*, (2004). Location difference of SAT exists between homologous pair of 2nd chromosome; one is at the middle of long arm, the other at the end of the long arm, as reported earlier by Zou *et al.*, (2008).

As the determination of acute toxicity is usually an initial step that provides information on health hazards likely to arise from a short term exposure in the assessment and evaluation of the toxic characteristics of a substance, an intraperitoneal median lethal dose (LD_{50}) of the bendiocarb formulation was determined before actual experimental design. A very low concentration $(1/4 LD_{50})$ of bendiocarb was used in this investigation to evaluate the cytogenetic effects of bendiocarb. The evaluation of CA is a fully accepted method to reveal genotoxicity, as it is indicative of real genetic effects (Tompa *et al.*, 1992). So we evaluated numerical and structural changes in metaphase chromosomes in the treated group.

The production of Chromosomal Aberration is a complex cellular process with mechanisms of chromosome breakage and re-joining that are not yet completely understood. Aneuploidy may result from non-disjunction of chromosomes at anaphase; chromosome loss during cell division so that one daughter cell becomes monosomic. Aneuploidy may be detected by counting chromosomes at metaphase stage (Parry *et al.*, 2002). According to the prevailing theories, structural CA results from: (1) direct DNA breakage, (2) replication on a damage DNA template, and (3) inhibition of DNA synthesis (Assayed *et al.*, 2010).

Numerical aberrations in the form of an uploidy in the metaphase plates observed in treated group suggest a clastogenic or aneugenic effect of bendiocarb. Structural aberrations in the form of chromosome break, chromatid gaps, breaks, additions and deletions were observed in the bone marrow cells of *Calotes* following treatment with bendiocarb. It may be surmised that bendiocarb acts as a spindle poison leading to disorientation of spindle apparatus, impairment of mitotic apparatus and chromatid breakage as a result of which the 'V' shaped configuration of metaphase chromosomes may have been lost and numerical and structural aberrations were created in the bone marrow cells of Calotes. The repeated dose for an extended period might have also lead to aberrant segregation of one or more chromosome during mitosis producing monosomic cells (aneuploidy). Our results are in accordance with the reports on numerical and structural aberrations in *in vitro* bovine peripheral lymphocytes exposed to bendiocarb (Holeckova et al., 2009). Our findings on structural aberrations are also similar to the findings of Chauhan et al., (2000) and Giri et al., (2002) on mouse bone marrow cells exposed to carbofuran and carbosulfan, respectively. Similar results have also been reported on cultured human lymphocytes exposed to mancozeb by Srivastava et al., (2012). Sarangi, (2000) reported that carbaryl is a potent inducer of chromosomal aberrations in kidney cells of fish Channa punctatus. Present observation concurrent with earlier reports on several carbamates discussed above substantiates the genotoxic potential of bendiocarb.

Our investigation on genotoxic effects of bendiocarb further indicated a time dependent increase in the level of induction in CA after chronic exposure of the tested dose for an extended period and suggests that bendiocarb either has a tendency to accumulate and concentrate in the animal body or it may be degraded into harmful xenobiotic agents which may be clastogenic or mutagenic. Time dependent induction in CA also suggests that long term exposure of bendiocarb may lead to generation of active electrophiles capable of interacting with the biological macromolecules DNA, RNA and proteins in reptilian cells in vivo. This may lead to altered enzyme activity causing abnormal DNA repair response. On the other hand, there is increasing evidence of pesticide induced oxidative stress through the generation of reactive oxygen radicals, leading to lipid peroxidation and DNA damage (Leomanni et al., 2015). Bendiocarb may have the potential to induce oxidative stress leading to generation of free radicals and alterations in antioxidant status or the oxygen free-radical (OFR) scavenging system.

It may be concluded that bendiocarb exposure under controlled laboratory conditions induced genotoxicity as revealed by chromosomal aberration assay. Further, this work also indicates that the frequency of karyological and cytogenetic damage in the form of numerical and structural aberrations is significantly correlated with the exposure time. The test system proved to be highly sensitive for genotoxicity assessment and the reptilian model *Calotes versicolor* may act as a good and interesting model for genotoxicity testing and ecological risk assessments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge Prof. Rajiva Raman, Department of Zoology, BHU, Varanasi India, for helping in identification of various types of chromosomal aberrations and Dr. Ramesh Singh, Department of Zoology, Udai Pratap (Autonomous) College Varanasi India, for providing the required chemicals.

REFERENCES

Anisha, Shrivastava, N. and Singh, T. 2014. Cytogenetic damage induced by bendiocarb, a carbamate insecticide, in the bone marrow of *Calotes versicolor*. *Life Sci. Bull.* **11(2):** 147-149.

Assayed, M. E., Khalaf, A. A. and Salem, H. A. 2010. Protective effects of garlic extract and vitamin C against *in vivo* cypermethrininduced cytogenetic damage in rat bone-marrow. *Mutat. Res. Gen. Tox. En.* 702: 1-7.

Brusick, D. 1980. Principles of Genetic Toxicology, Plenum Press, New York. 1: 235-239.

Cakici, O. and Akat, E. 2012. Histopathological effects of carbaryl on testes of snake-eyed lizard, *Ophisops elegans*. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **19:** 64-71.

Capriglione, T., De Iorio, S., Gay, F., Capaldo, A., Vaccaro, M. C., Morescalchi, M. A. and Laforgia, V. 2011. Genotoxic effects of the fungicide thiophanate-methyl on *Podarcis sicula* assessed by micronucleus test, comet assay and chromosome analysis. *Ecotoxicol*. 20: 885-891.

Chauhan, L. K. S., Pant, N., Gupta, S. K. and Srivastava, S. P. 2000. Induction of chromosomal aberrations, micronucleus formation and sperm abnormalities in mouse following carbofuran exposure. *Mutat. Res. Gen. Tox. En.* **465**: 123-129.

Choudhury, S. 2013. Cytotoxicity assessment of Murraya koneigii

aqueous extract on *Allium cepa* L. root tip cells. *The Ecoscan*, Special issue. **IV:** 39-43.

Gibbons, D., Marrissey, C. and Mineau, P. 2015. A review of the direct and indirect effects of neonicotinoids and fipronil on vertebrate wildlife. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 22: 103-118.

Gibbons, J. W., Scott, D. E., Gibbons, J. W., Scott, D. E., Ryan, T. J., Buhlmann, K. A., Tuberville, T. D., Metts, B. S., Greene, J. L., Mills, T., Leiden, Y., Poppy, S. and Winne, C. T. 2000. The global decline of reptiles, deja vu amphibians. *Bioscience*. **50**: 653-666.

Giri, S., Giri, A., Sharma, G. D. and Prasad, S. B. 2002. Mutagenic effects of carbosulfan, a carbamate pesticide. *Mutat. Res.* 519: 75-82.

Gokhan, E., Kanbur, M. and Silici, S. 2008. Effect of carbaryl on some biochemical changes in rats: The ameliorative effect of bee pollen. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **47(1):** 86-91.

Hernandz, F. A., Gomez, M. A., Perez, V. G., Iario, V. J., Pena, G., Gill, F., Iopez O., Rodrig, L., Pion, G. and Pla, A. 2006. Influence of exposure to pesticides on serum components and enzyme activities of cytotoxicity among intensive agricultural farmers. *Environ. Res. J.* 102: 70-76.

Holeckova, B., Sivikova, K. and Dianovska, J. 2009. Effect of Nmetylcarbamate pesticide bendiocarb on cattle lymphocytes after *in vitro* exposure. *Acta. Biol. Hung.* **60**: 167-75.

Krishnappa, G. R. and Venkateshwarlu, M. 2007. Genotoxic effect of carbaryl on Indian Cricket Frog *Limnocentes limnocharis* of western ghats. *The Bioscan.* 2(1): 15-20.

Kritpetcharat, O., Kritpetcharat, C., Luangpirom, A. and Watcharanon, P. 1999. Karyotype of Four Agamidae Species from the Phu Phan National Park in Thailand. *Science Asia*. 25: 185-188.

Kumar, A., Dandapat, S., Kumar, M. and Sinha, M. P. 2013. Evaluation of genotoxicity and cytotoxicity of *Tinospora cardifolia*. *The Bioscan*. **8(3)**: 1083-1088.

Kusum Lata, C. and Devi, K., R. 2010. Cytogenetic effects of adriamycin in bone marrow cells of swiss albino rat. *The Bioscan*. 5(2): 317-320.

Lajmanovich, R. C., Cabagna, M., Peltzer, P. M., Stringhini, G. A. and Attademo, A. M. 2005. Micronucleus induction in erythrocytes of the *Hyla pulchella* tadpoles (Amphibia: Hylidae) exposed to insecticide Endosulfan. *Mutat. Res.* **587**: 67–72.

Leomanni, A., Schettino, T., Calisi, A., Gorbi, S., Mezzelani, M., Regoli, F. and Lionetto, M. G. 2015. Antioxidant and oxidative stress related responses in the Mediterranean and snail *Cantareus apertus* exposed to the carbamate pesticide Carbaryl. *Comp. Biochem. Phys.* **168**: 20-27.

Levan, A., Fredga, K. and Sandberg, A. A. 1964. Nomenclature of centromeric positions on chromosomes. *Heriditas*. 52: 201-220.

Makino, S. and Asana, J. J. 1948. A sexual difference in the chromosomes of two species of Agamid lizards. *Chromosoma*. 3: 208-219.

Martinez-Lopez, E., Sousa, A. R., Maria-Mojica, P. and Gomez-Ramirez, P. 2010. Blood d-ALAD, lead and cadmium concentrations in spur-thighed tortoises (*Testudo graeca*) from Southeastern Spain and Northern Africa. *Ecotoxicol.* **19**: 670-677.

Matson, C. L., Gillespie, A. M., McCarthy, C., Mcdonald, T. J., Bickham, J. W., Sullivan, R. and Donnelly, K. C. 2009. Wildlife Toxicology: biomarkers of genotoxic exposures at hazardous waste site. *Ecotoxicol.* **18**: 886-898.

Matson, C. L., Palatnikov, G., Islamzadeh, A., Mcdonald, T. J., Autenrieth, R. L., Donelly, K. C. and Bickham, J. W. 2005. Chromosomal damage in two species of aquatic turtles (*Emys orbicularis* and *Mauremys* caspica) inhabiting sites in Azerbaijan. *Ecotoxicol.* **14:** 513-525. Parry, E. M., Parry, J. M., Corso, C., Doherty, A., Haddad, F., Hermine, T. F., Johnson, G., Kayani, M., Quick, E., Warr, T. and Williamson, J. 2002. Detection and characterization of mechanisms of action of aneugenic chemicals. *Mutagenesis*. **17(6)**: 509-521.

Poletta, G. L., Kleinsorge, E., Paonessa, A., Mudry, M. D., Larriera, A. and Siroski, P. A. 2011. Genetic, enzymatic and developmental alterations observed in *Caiman latirostris* exposed *in ovo* to pesticide formulations and mixtures in an experiment simulating environmental exposure. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **74**: 852-0859.

Poletta, G. L., Siroski, P. A., Amavet, S. P., Ortega, H. H. and Mudry, M. D. 2013. Reptiles as animal models: examples of their utility in genetics, immunology and toxicology. *Book: Reptile across research field, Chapter.* 21:1-39.

Priya, K., Yadav, A., Kumar, N., Gulati, S., Gupta, R., Yadav, S. and Aggarwal, N. 2014. Bio-monitoring on genotoxicity and genetic polymorphism of xenobiotic metabolizing genes in pesticides expose workers: A Review. *Int. J. Recent. Adv. Pharm. Res.* 4(1): 25-37.

Randhawa, M. A. 2009. Calculation of LD50 values from the method of Miller and Tainter, 1944. J. Ayub. Med. Coll. Abbottabad. 21(4): 84-85.

Sarangi, P. K. 2000. Cytogenotoxicity evaluation of certain pesticides in Fish in vivo. Ph. D. Thesis, Berhampur University, Orissa.

Sharma, G. P. and Nakhasi, U. 1980. Karyotypic homology and evolution of the Agamid lizards. *Cytologia*. 45: 211-9.

Shrivastava, N., Anisha and Singh, T. 2014. Thiodicarb induced genotoxicity in bone marrow of *Calotes versicolor*. *Natl. J. Life Sci.* **11(2)**: 173-176.

Shrivastava, N., Anisha and Singh, T. 2015. Cytogenetic damage induced by thiodicarb, a carbamate insecticide in the bone marrow of *Calotes versicolor*. *Int. J. Glob. Sci. Res.* **2(3)**: 159-163.

Sparling, D. W., Linder, G., Bishop, C. A. and Krest, S. K. 2010. Recent advancements in amphibian and reptile ecotoxicology. In: Sparling, D. W., Linder, G., Bishop, C. A., Krest, S. K. (Eds.), Ecotoxicology of Amphibians and Reptiles. *Taylor and Francis*, New York.

Srivastava, A. K., Ali, W., Singh, R., Bhui, K., Tyagi, S., Al-Khedhairy, A. A., Srivastava, P. K., Musarrat, J. and Shukla, Y. 2012. Mancozeb induced genotoxicity and apoptosis in cultured human lymphocytes. *Life Sci.* **90(2)**: 815-24.

Srivastava, P. and Singh, A. 2013. Induction of Chromosomal Aberrations by Carbamate fungicide in fish *Clarius batrachus* (Asian Catfish). *Schol. J. Agri. Scien.* 3(11): 487-491.

Talent, L. G., Dumont, J. N., Bantle, J. A., Janz, D. M. and Talent, S. G. 2002. Evaluation of western fence lizards (*Sceloporous occidentalis*) and eastern fence lizards (*Sceloporous undulates*) as laboratory reptile model for toxicological investigations. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 21(5): 899-905.

Thounaojam, B., Chingangbam, D. and Sarangthem, I. 2004. Arrangement of micro- and macro chromosomes in mitotic and meiotic nuclei of the common garden lizard *Calotes versicolor*. *The Nucleus*. **473:** 117-123.

Tompa, A., Major, J. and Gundi, S. 1992. Methods in human population monitoring to detect the genotoxic effects of mutagenic and carcinogenic agents. *Egeszsegtudomany*. **36:** 327-341.

Wei, L. Y., Chao, J. S. and Hong, C. C. 1997. Assessment of the ability of propoxur, methomyl, and aldicarb, three carbamate insecticides, to induce micronuclei *in vivo* in BALB/C mice. *Environ. Mol. Mut.* **29(4):** 386-393.

Zou, P., Che, Z. and Li, R. 2008. The study on karyotype and Ag-NORs of Calotes versicolor. J. Shaoguon University. 12.

INSTRUCTION TO AUTHORS The Bioscan

An International Quarterly Journal of Life Science

THE JOURNAL

The Bioscan is an international quarterly journal of life sciences with international editorial board. The journal is online and details can be seen (downloaded from the site. www.thebioscan.in). For any query e-mail at m_psinha@yahoo.com & dr.mp.sinha@gmail.com can be used.

AIM & SCOPE

The journal aims to publish original peerly reviewed/ refereed research papers/reviews on all aspects of life sciences.

SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

Only original research papers are considered for publication. The authors may be asked to declare that the manuscript has not been submitted to any other journal for consideration at the same time. Two hard copies of manuscript and one soft copy, complete in all respects should be submitted. The soft copy can also be sent by email as an attachment file for quick processing of the paper.

FORMAT OF MANUSCRIPT

All manuscripts must be written in English and should be typed double-spaced with wide margins on all sides of good quality A4 paper.

First page of the paper should be headed with the title page, (in capital, font size 16), the names of the authors (in capitals, font size 12) and full address of the institution where the work was carried out including e-mail address. A short running title should be given at the end of the title page and 3-5 key words or phrases for indexing.

The main portion of the paper should be divided into Abstract, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion (or result and discussion together), Acknowledgements (if any) References and legends.

Abstract should be limited to 200 words and convey the main points of the paper-outline, results and conclusion or the significance of the results.

Introduction should give the reasons for doing the work. Detailed review of the literature is not necessary. The introduction should preferably conclude with a final paragraph stating concisely and clearly the aims and objectives of your investigation.

Materials and Methods should include a brief technical description of the methodology adopted while a detailed description is required if the methods are new.

Results should contain observations on experiment done illustrated by tables and figures. Use well known statistical tests in preference to obscure ones.

Discussion must not recapitulate results but should relate the author's experiments to other work on the subject and give their conclusions.

All tables and figures must be cited sequentially in the text. Figures should be abbreviated to Fig., except in the beginning of a sentence when the word Figure should be written out in full.

The figures should be drawn on a good quality tracing/ white paper with black ink with the legends provided on a separate sheet. Photographs should be black and white on a glossy sheet with sufficient contrast.

References should be kept to a minimum and listed in alphabetical order. Personal communication and unpublished data should not be included in the reference list. Unpublished papers accepted for publication may be included in the list by designating the journal followed by "in press" in parentheses in the reference list. The list of reference at the end of the text should be in the following format.

- Witkamp, M. and Olson, J. S. 1963. Breakdown of confined and non-confined Oak Litter. *Oikos*. 14:138-147.
- 2. **Odum, E.P. 1971.** *Fundamentals of Ecology*. W. B. Sauder Co. Publ. Philadelphia.p.28.
- 3. Macfadyen, A.1963. The contribution of microfauna to total soil metabolism. In:*Soil organism*, J. Doeksen and J. Van Der Drift (Eds). North Holland Publ. Comp., pp 3-16.

References in the text should be quoted by the **author's name and year** in parenthesis and presented in year order. When there are more than two authors the reference should be quoted as: first author followed by *et al.*, throughout the text. Where more than one paper with the same senior author has appeared in on year the references should Cont. P. 1460